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Abstract: Greywater is an rich source of renewable non-potable water. It can be reused to provide a large amount of water for daily activities consumption. Before reuse, Greywater must be treated to match the health standards. The most suitable method for treating Greywater is determined by the extent of its contamination. In case of low loaded Greywater, the physical treatment is satisfactory. In the present study the main objective is to evaluate the filtration method using both up-down and down-up flow in physical treated of greywater, to find out the best technique for Greywater treatment from efficiency point of view, as part of the implementation of the filtration process. Five different strategies based on the filtration media have been tested to evaluate most effective and acceptable one. The filtration media that have been used were: gravel and sand, MBB tablets and sand, fresh apricot kernels with gravel and sand, sand only, and fifth was sponge only. The following evaluation parameters have been monitored: TSS, Turbidity, COD, NO$_3$-N and Phosphate. Results obtained revealed that the fifth filtration media is the most efficient strategy for greywater treatment. Theremoval efficiency of evaluated parameters for fifth strategy were: 98.8%, 86.91%, 67.90%, 71.15% and 86.36% respectively for TSS, Turbidity, COD, Nitrate and Phosphate respectively. Finally results highlighted that filtration treatment of greywater makes it reuse for several purposes such as domestic garden irrigation; firefighting; car washing and toilet flushing box, hence decreased the load in water demand.

Abbreviation: MBB = Movable Bed Bioreactor; TSS = Total Suspended Solids COD = Chemical Oxygen Demand NO$_3$-N = Nitrate – Nitrogen
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INTRODUCTION

Arab countries are being considered as a semi-arid or arid region and its available water resources are limited. The region has around 5 percent of the world's population having access to merely 1 percent of the world's total water resources. Increasing urbanization and industrialization, high population growth rate, failure to adopt basic water conservation principles, insufficient energy for seawater desalination, regional conflicts resulting in mass flow of internal migrants, lack of public awareness, in addition to the use of traditional methods of irrigation and sometimes high standards of living, all increase the water demand [1]. The best-known indicator of national water scarcity is per capita renewable water, where threshold values of 500, 1000 and 1700 m$^3$/person/year are used to distinguish between different levels of water stress as, absolute water scarcity, Chronic water shortage and regular water stress respectively. On this criterion, countries or regions are considered to be facing absolute water scarcity if renewable water resources are <500 m$^3$/per capita. Figure (1) refers to available water quantity per person per year in different Arab countries. It became very urgent to search for a renewable water sources to maintain the per capita share of drinking water and meet the demand for the amount of water needed for daily activities and projects. Whenever a good quality water is scarce, water of marginal quality is considered for use (Selim, 2008). This paper focuses on finding an non-traditional solution to face the problem of water shortage in Arab world. This solution represented in reuse of treated greywater, just at least to share in water conservation.
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Greywater reuse is an appropriate method as a source of renewable water, to meet daily water needs it is sustainable. It is not seasonal like rainwater and produced in large quantities daily through individual consumption of water and greywater is easier to treat and reuse than black wastewater.

Greywater is the domestic wastewater except for water from toilet (black water) [2], black water needs complex treatment processes and high deficit in uses even in agriculture sectors. Some studies except wastewater from kitchen, where it contains large amount of organic matter due to the leftover food, requires biological treatment [3]. Greywater accounts for 84% of household drainage, classification of domestic water drainage shown in Figure (2).

Greywater is divided into two types, low-loaded greywater, which includes wastewater from hand basin, showers and bath tubes [4], greywater comes from Mosques that used for Woda and its amount represents more than 70% of the total greywater [5] and high-loaded greywater which iscomes from kitchens and dishwashing. The properties of low and high loaded greywater can be summarized in the following table.

**Greywater Characterizations and Reuse:** Greywater characterizations depend on some factors as living level, personal hygiene, detergents and cosmetics are used and dirty clothes limit [8, 9, 10]. Greywater reuse is useful in several purposes, these benefits can be summarized as:

- **Toilet flushing** [11, 12] Thus, 30% of potable water can be conserved [13]
- **Washing cars and firefighting** [14].
- **Cultivate some crops to avoid famines** [15].
- **Domestic garden and public clubs irrigations** [16].
- **Cement manufacture** [12].
- **Improve wetlands** [17].
- **Biomass output** [18].
- **Improve water resources utilization** [19].
- **Reduce freshwater that consumed for daily domestic proposes, at the rate of 30%** [20-22].

**Greywater Treatment:** Greywater treatment depends on the type and degree of load. Biological treatment as Wetlands, Rotating Biological Contactor (RBC), Sequencing Batch Reactor (SBR) and Membrane
Bioreactor (MB) is required for high loaded greywater. Considered, because it contains high organic matter. Chemical treatment as oxidation, adding Chlorine, Ozonation and Active Carbon and Physical treatment as sedimentation, filtration and ultraviolet light, can be applied for low loaded greywater, which precedes any biological or chemical treatment process that mentioned above. There are different factors affecting the suitable method that should be used for greywater treatment, contains but not limited to:

Greywater Source: Greywater that comes from kitchens and dishwashing basins is rich in organic matter, which considered the most polluted greywater. Greywater from washing machine contains a large amount of phosphate as a result of the use of powder detergents and suspended solids due to the escape of fibers. As for the greywater from bathroom, it contains suspended solids such as hair, nails and skin, contains also xenobiotic Organic Compounds (XOC) due to the use of shampoo, perfume, shower gel and personal care products.

Consumers: Statues of the population have a strong impact on the extent of greywater pollution, where living level and the work of the population in addition to the situation of the population if they are families or university students. All such factors affect the daily activities that need to use water and degree of greywater pollution.

The Propose of Greywater Reuse: The more people exposed to treated water, the more greywater treatment is needed to avoid the health risks.

Many studies were conducted to evaluate methods of greywater treated, among these ultra-filtration (UF), which could reduce chemical oxygen demand (COD) and biological oxygen demand (BOD), but the treated was not at the satisfied limits. Nano filtration (NF) membrane, which could treated greywater comes from gymnasium showers (contains suspended solids (SS) and COD), to the level for unrestricted reuse. Using chemical disinfection after filtration treatment able to removes coliforms but turbidity and BOD were still in the high level. The advantage of the filtration system is reducing the pollution in greywater but it cannot achieve the required standards. Low strength greywater was treated implementing Nylon Sock type followed by sedimentation then disinfection stage, reduces COD, Turbidity, SS and total nitrogen (TN). The effluent from treatment system can reused in toilet flushing but it has to be taken into consideration a time of saving not more than 48 hours and chlorine concentration in toilet tank no less than 1 mg/l. Using Slanted Soil Filtration to treat greywater from kitchen basins, this soil is composed of alumina and hydrated silica, a method is considered a mix of filtration and biodegradation. The effluent proved that the treatment process could reduce COD, BOD, SS, TN and total phosphorus (TP). Greywater disinfection by Ultraviolet light (UV) is very effective for faecal coliforms removal, but its efficiency is weak of inactivation of heterotrophic plate count. Greywater treatment was studied by using microfiltration membrane (A2O-MF membrane) and oxidation process (OP), (A2O-MF membrane) achieved a decrease in color 98%, turbidity 99%, COD 99%, SS 99% and E. Coil, Salmonella and Staphylococcus 30% and the OP system decreased color, E. Coil, Salmonella and Staphylococcus 100%. It was found that the MF membrane is economic than the UF membrane or NF membrane. Indian residential school, the system which is set up for greywater treatment and reuse in toilet flushing, food crops irrigation and cleaning of school floors, treatment system consist of synthetic sponge, sedimentation graded settlement tank as a primary treatment for sucking soap suds, sand/gravel filter as a secondary treatment to influent filtration and aeration and chlorination system as a tertiary treatment, then effluent pumped to toilet flushing. It was found by some researchers that the membrane bioreactor system (MBR) is an effective solution to treat greywater, which collected from residential buildings. J. Jong et al., recommended to do disinfection process before the reuse greywater treated by membrane bioreactor system (MBR). D. Mandal, et al., found that up flow and down flow treatment system that contains sifting, deposition, filtration and disinfection, leads to reduce COD and E. coil. Greywater treatment by using a system consisting plastic collection tank, pump suction located at the bottom of the tank, filter through a stainless steel screen and a UV disinfection unit is studied by C. Santos, et al.,. Photo catalytic oxidation with titanium oxidation and UV were used to treat greywater and enables to get rid 90% of organic matter. Use a set of filtration, alum flocculation, sedimentation and disinfection, that achieved to a low-cost system can make physical, physiochemical and biological treatments. Prior to the filtration have to make a pretreatment to remove oils, fats and grease. The impact of use activated carbon and ozone on micropollutants removal was studied by L. Hernández-Leal, et al., (2012). It was based on adsorption and ozonation technique. The effect of the
A treatment system that includes five stages (storage tank, sedimentation tank, sand gravel filter, coconut shell coal plus charcoal filter and disinfection) have been tested and showed a difference in greywater quality before and after treatment [38]. Using Green Roof-top Water Recycling System (GROW) can achieve removal efficient higher than 82%, the parameters that are removed are TSS, TN, TP and NO₂⁻N [39].

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Objectives:** The main target of this study is to construct a model that can be used for greywater treatment, then reuse this treated greywater in different fields to save partially the resources of water. The efficiency of this treatment unit should be sufficient and economic as it is possible.

**Experimental Unit:** The physical treatment process is the treatment method that chosen to treat greywater non including kitchens. This means that greywater doesn't contain organic matters, only by simple value, which can avoid its harm by some precautions when used.

Filtration is the physical treatment process chosen by up flow-down flow method, the treatment unit contains four chambers. The first chamber for sedimentation for the disposal of large amount of suspended solids. The greywater flows to the weir at second chamber through a double elbow, the elbow lifted from the unit bottom by a distance 5 cm to prevent the transfer of the suspended solids settled in the first chamber. The weir works as reservation of the remaining suspended solids. The second chamber works as an extra clarifier, to ensure that is no impurities will pass to filter media and clog their voids. Then the greywater flows to the third chamber, which contains a coarse filtration media, through an overhead pipe to ensure the transfer of the greywater without suspended solids. Then passes to forth chamber follow down-up flow. The forth chamber contains another kind of filter media based on the strategy that will follow. Figures (4) and (5) show the geometric details of the experimental unit.

Table (2) refers to description of different types of filtration media that have been used in each strategy.

![Fig. 4: Top view of the experimental unit](image1.png)

![Fig. 5: Elevation of the experimental unit](image2.png)
Table 2: Types of filtration media for each strategy:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strategies Number</th>
<th>3rd chamber filtration media</th>
<th>Thickness (cm)</th>
<th>4th chamber filtration media</th>
<th>Thickness (cm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Gravel</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Sand</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>MBB* tablets</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Sand</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3**</td>
<td>Gravel</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Sand</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4***</td>
<td>Sand</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Sand</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Sponge</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Sponge</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Movable Bed Bio-filter with 2 cm gravel used as cover.
** The third strategy contains fresh apricot kernels in second chamber.
*** Sand layer in 3rd chamber covered by 5 cm sponge.

Gravel that have been used as a filter media were with size of 2.8, 4.75, 9.5 mm and for sand were 1.4 and 2.8 mm. Sponge as a cover layer with thickness of 5 cm has been used above the sand filter in 4th chamber, in all strategies that have been tested.

The following figure shows the configuration of filter media for third strategy as an example and the direction of flow for the Greywater.

**Synthetic Greywater:** Synthetic greywater used in the study was created in Sanitary and Environmental Lab. Of Engineering Faculty, Aswan University, Aswan, Egypt. Analyses of synthetic greywater indicated that: TSS 500 mg/l, turbidity 34.5 NTU, Nitrate(NO$_3$-N) 3.6 mg/l, phosphate 0.35 mg/l, COD 330 mg/l, BOD$_5$ 50 mg/l and PH 7.5 mg/l. The concentration of nutrients (Nitrogen & Phosphorus) were so low, just to match with the properties of natural Greywater. The synthetic greywater is pumped to the experimental unit three times per day for 30 minutes each (8:30 – 9:00, 13:30 – 14:00 and 19:30 – 20:00). Feeding time of greywater selected to simulate the variation of daily water consumption. The synthetic Greywater Samples were collected from the inlet and outlet of the unit. For physical analysis, total suspended solids (TSS) were measured by gravimetric method and turbidity by WTW device. And concerned with chemical analysis, the measured parameters were; Nitrate, Phosphate and COD by HANNA Device and PH by WTW device.
RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Removal Efficiency: Removal of different evaluation parameters such as physical parameters represented by total suspended solids (TSS) and turbidity, or other parameters like Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD), which represents the organics content of the samples, or phosphate and Nitrate-Nitrogen (NO$_3$-N), all were studied. Percentage of removal has been calculated based on following equation:

$$\text{Percentage of removal} = \left( \frac{I_c - F_c}{I_c} \right) \times 100$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where:

$I_c =$ Initial concentrations of evaluated parameters.
$F_c =$ Final concentrations of evaluated parameters.

The experiments conducted under different boundary conditions, represented by name of strategy. The main variables in different strategies were the configuration of filter media that have been used and their thicknesses.

Physical Contaminant: It was observed an increase in TSS removal of the five strategies with convergent values. The fourth and the fifth strategies were better, due to filtration media that has largest surface area and it enables to reserve the largest amount of suspended solids. To obtain the best results of TSS removal, the hydraulic retention time (HRT) should be sufficient to ensure that most solids settled in first chamber and use a filtration media that has small voids with low flow rate. The water velocity can move the trapped suspended solids and sand grains when using fine sand as filtration media in case of a high flow rate, which justifications have been met in fourth and fifth strategy so it achieved the best results of TSS removal. Removal efficiency of TSS for all strategies shown in Figure (7).

The ability of the strategies in Turbidity removal is high, except the third strategy. The turbidity didn’t go down as required, in spite of using the same filtration media in the first strategy. The reason may be goes to, the stagnation of fresh apricot kernels in second chamber of the unit, which after three days it leads to bad odor and color of greywater changes to yellow. Figure (8) indicates the ability of the five strategies in Turbidity removal.

Chemical Contaminants: It is clear that the strategies efficiency at COD removal is close. Figure (9) shows the convergence, but the third strategy efficiency is not efficient may be due to use fresh apricot kernels that has
a large amount of organic matter dissolve in a Greywater, in turn raises COD value. The study is in disagreement with reference [40]; it proved that apricot kernels had the capability of purifying water as active carbon and its ability to absorption due to its high surface area. This problem may be is attributed to the use of fresh apricot kernels in the treatment without separating the nucleus from the shell.

Phosphate is indicated by the presence of soap, remove it refers to soap disposal. Strategies efficiency is variant at phosphate removal. The best removal of phosphate was achieved in the fifth strategy due to ability of sponge to absorb foam produced from soap. The second strategy is the worst, where the breadth of MBB tablets pore fails in catching foam. Figure (9) refers to the phosphate removal efficiency by applying different strategies.

Nitrate removal is acceptable and is close at all strategies, as shown in Figure (11). The presence of Nitrate in greywater is less than its presence in black water, where its presence is limited to the use of liquid detergent and personal care production [41].
The nitrification process occurs in the presence of oxygen. This process is responsible for the generation of nitrate and was easy to dispose by filtration. The denitrification process can take place at anoxic condition in treatment unit and in turn help in remove of nitrate.

PH value doesn't influenced on treatment process where its value is moderate and limited between (7-7.5) before and after treatment processes, it doesn't need any modification.

Figure (12) summarizes the removal efficiencies of different parameters by applying the five variant strategies. Fifth strategy was the best one from the removal efficiency point of view. The main factor that plays the effective role was the kind of filter media layers and their height or thickness.

Comparing between concentration of pollutants in treated Greywater applying fifth strategy and Egyptian standards code in field of Greywater reuse, the effluent match with category B and can be reused in different fields. According to Egyptian standards code, treated wastewater at zone B can be reused in:

- Toilet flushing.
- Irrigating the green areas of educational facilities.
- Irrigating private or public parks.

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

Based on the experimental work and analysis of its results, the following have been concluded:

- Filtration media plays an important role in the treatment process, despite the treatment process was identical in all strategies but results were asymmetric.
- The proposed unit can treat low load greywater for reuse in the daily activities.
- The fifth strategy achieved higher removal efficiency, where its removal efficiency for TSS, Turbidity, COD, NO$_3$-N and PO$_4$ were 98.8%, 86.91%, 67.90%, 71.15% and 86.36% respectively.
- The best treatment levels occurred in the fourth and the fifth strategies, which attributed to the filtration media containing finer granules. The removal efficiency will be higher with the high surface area.
- The use of fresh apricot kernels have counter effect on treatment process and it reduced removal efficiency, which can be explained by using fresh kernels without excluding their seeds and without any pretreatment.
- All strategies that have been tested were close in NO$_3$-N removal, this indicates that filtration media doesn't have a main effect on Nitrate removal. The convergence of efficiencies is attributed to the removal occurring due to denitrification process.
- It is recommended to use the Fifth Strategy unit for low load greywater treatment, the effluent can be reused in zone B according to Egyptian code.

To achieve the desired benefit of the study, a separate plumbing system is required to separate greywater from black water in discharge. At the end of greywater effluent pipes, it is recommended to build an inspection room containing the suggested treatment unit. The treated greywater is re-pumped into the houses in separate lines from the drinking water supply pipes, it enables the residents to reuse it for several purposes such as domestic garden irrigation and firefighting or car washing, as well as pump it into the toilet flushing box.
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