

Groundwater Contamination from leaking USTs: Prevention versus Restoration

Mohammad S. Al-Suwaiyan

Civil Eng. Dept. King Fahd Univ. of Petroleum & Minerals, Dhahran, Saudi Arabi

Abstract

Throughout the world and more in arid regions like Saudi Arabia and Gulf states where surface water and rainfall are limited, groundwater is the main source of relatively good quality water. This valuable natural resource is threatened by contamination from various sources including underground fuel storage tanks (USTs). An UST might be leaking for a long time before any related groundwater quality problem is discovered due to the hidden nature of the subsurface environment as well as the relatively slow mass transfer processes that take place there. In addition, attempts to overcome or solve such problems once they exist will be hampered by the subsurface nature mainly heterogeneity in terms of aquifer material or the subsurface structure.

The various processes that influence the fate and distribution of organic contaminants in groundwater after an NAPL leak and the transport process itself are presented indicating the complexities that will exist as one attempt to develop flow, transport of dissolved contaminants, and multiphase flow models to come close to representing real life problems indicating the degree of modeling reliability. The difficulties associated with aquifer and soil characterization, sampling and monitoring as well as remediation are highlighted and the often low success rate of aquifer restoration programs is stressed. The conclusion from such discussion would suggest that great effort should be directed towards prevention or minimizing spills through the implementation of proper rules and guidelines for design, construction, monitoring and maintenance of USTs at new and existing service stations.

Introduction

Water covers about 73% of our planet with a huge volume of 1.4 billion cubic kilometers most of which is saline. According to the water encyclopedia, (van der Leeden et al, 1990) only about 3-4% of the total water is fresh. Most of the freshwater exist as ice in the polar region leaving about 9 million cubic kilometers of fresh water existing as groundwater and surface water like rivers and fresh lakes. Looking at numbers one will realize that most fresh water on earth exists as groundwater making it arguably the most valuable resource on earth.

The value of this resource can be reduced drastically if its quality deteriorates and there are many sources of groundwater contamination that can be classified into point source and non-point sources. Example of the former is contamination due to hydrocarbon leaks from underground storage tanks which could result because of improper design, human error, accidents or simply due to the natural aging and deterioration of the tank itself or its associated piping and fittings.

An UST or its associated piping may leak due to various reasons releasing a certain volume of LNAPL into the subsurface. Depending on the spill volume, type and subsurface properties, the hydrocarbon may be trapped in the unsaturated zone above the water table. For high LNAPL volume, it will continue to migrate down reaching close to the capillary fringe near the water table. The mobile phase near the water table can migrate laterally in the same direction as groundwater. Part of the LNAPL will dissolve slowly in the groundwater providing a long term source of groundwater contamination by means of a contaminated plume that grows in size with time (Al-Suwaiyan and Bashir, 1997).

The major steps involved in dealing with spills and trying to restore the subsurface have to do, in the initial phase, with source control and development of thorough understanding of the subsurface condition as well as the extent of contamination which should be followed by intensive use of modeling techniques in order to examine and select the most effective means of aquifer restoration and to examine the system behavior under various possible scenarios. Based on the results and understating developed, the actual remediation system is selected and the actual restoration process is started. Throughout these phases extreme difficulties and uncertainties exist which greatly influence the overall success of the remediation effort. The various complicating factors at various stages will be discussed below.

Contamination assessment and monitoring

Field investigation at this stage aims at assessing the extent of contamination and knowing the distribution of the released contaminants. It may involve sampling of aquifer material, construction of wells screened in LNAPL zone and wells screened below the water table, which can provide information such as thickness of NAPL in wells, concentration of dissolved contaminants as well as approximate water table elevation. These are the primary data that must be used to evaluate nature and extent of groundwater pollution. Soil samples collected during the field investigation can be taken to the laboratory to get their grain size distribution which may be in turn used in models such as the one presented by Mishra et al. (1989) to generate a first approximation for the hydraulic properties of the subsurface. A review for estimating spill volume is presented by Saleem et al. (2004).

It is well established that monitoring wells are not reliable for spill detection and quantification since in many field cases, leaks are accidentally discovered by detecting free product in utility manholes not by finding free product monitoring wells. Field as well as laboratory studies showed that free product may not show in a monitoring well even if significant amount of LNAPL is spilled and present in the formation. It was also seen that water table fluctuations and history highly influence the

free product thickness in monitoring wells and that no unique relation exists between thickness in monitoring well and amount of spill. It was also observed that sudden appearance and disappearance of LNAPL in the monitoring well can take place. More details related to this issue are given by Marinelli and Dunford, (1996). These points suggest that use of monitoring wells to detect and assess leaks has to be done with care.

Distribution of contaminants

Farr et al. (1990) as well as Lenhard and Parker (1990) showed that the vertical distribution of an LNAPL after a spill is expected to be influenced by the spill volume, soil properties like displacement head, distribution index and value of residual saturation. These properties reflect the grain-size distribution in the aquifer material which varies significantly from one location to another. Hydrocarbon properties also influence its distribution including density, surface tension, viscosity, solubility and volatility. In general a hydrocarbon can exist in either of four classes. It can be held by capillary and adsorptive forces in the unsaturated/saturated zone as residual or immobile phase which approximately remain in its place but slowly dissolving part of its mass with any water flow. It can also exist as a vapor phase in the unsaturated zone or as free phase near the water table and in monitoring wells. Finally it can exist as a dissolved phase in groundwater at relatively very low concentrations but note that most of these products are very harmful to humans even at trace levels. According to Suthersan (1997), a typical distribution of the various phases after a spill in a hypothetical aquifer in terms of contaminated volume and contaminant mass shows that in terms of contaminated aquifer volume 79% is accounted for by dissolved phase, and 66% of contaminant mass exists as a free product. The treatment and modeling of each class will be different as will be explained when modeling is discussed adding to the difficulties.

Modeling

Groundwater flow

Based on principle of mass conservation and taking advantage of the well known Law of Darcy, a governing differential equation is established which can be solved numerically to come up with groundwater velocity and pressure at various locations and times (Zheng and Bennett, 1995).

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(K_x \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left(K_y \frac{\partial h}{\partial y} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left(K_z \frac{\partial h}{\partial z} \right) + q_s = S_s \frac{\partial h}{\partial t}$$

The parameters that appeared in this equation are the coordinate directions, the total head (h), source sink term (q_s) the hydraulic conductivity (K) and specific storage (S_s). The hydraulic conductivity exhibits large variation from one point to another and at the same location which means that we can not come up with a “correct” value that

can be used in the model. The velocity field obtained will be as good as the parameters supplied to the mathematical model.

Transport of dissolved contaminant

Similar to the approach used to model groundwater flow, movement of a dissolved contaminant is modeled by solving the governing equation developed by applying the solute mass balance considering the various mechanisms involved like diffusion, dispersion, advection, retardation, reaction (Zheng and Bennett, 1995). Depending on how rigorous one wants to be the models to describe the various processes is developed/selected and implemented to come up with the governing equation.

$$R \frac{\partial C}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(D_{ij} \frac{\partial C}{\partial x_j} \right) - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (v_i C) + \frac{q_s}{\theta} C_s - \lambda \left(C + \frac{\rho_b}{\theta} \bar{C} \right)$$

Where:

- R retardation factor
- C dissolved concentration
- v seepage velocity
- D_{ij} dispersion coefficient
- q_s flow rate of fluid source
- C_s source concentration
- ρ_b bulk density
- θ porosity
- \bar{C} sorbed concentration
- λ reaction rate constant

The model prediction will be as good as the degree of closeness of the processes selected in the model to the real problem as well as the closeness to the supplied parameters to the real ones. Groundwater velocity field, hydrodynamic dispersion coefficients are essential but we have seen that velocity obtained from a flow model is not highly reliable. Dispersion was found to be scale dependent meaning that values are not easily approximated. If we include reactions and biotic processes (some of which may be approximated using retardation factor) the case becomes even more complex and modeling results are rarely reliable to a high degree.

Contaminants as distinct phases

For modeling distinct phases the problem becomes much more involved but the basic principle is the mass balance of each phase combined with auxiliary equations that reflect the properties of various phases as well as porous media properties.

Example of the governing equations for multi-phase problem for three phase system of water(w), oil(o) and air and assuming that the pressure in air remains constant as given by Segol (1994) are:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} [K_{wij} (\frac{\partial \psi_w}{\partial x_j} + u_j)] - C_{ww} \frac{\partial \psi_w}{\partial t} - C_{wo} \frac{\partial \psi_o}{\partial t} = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} [K_{oij} (\frac{\partial \psi_o}{\partial x_j} + \rho_{ro} u_j)] - C_{oo} \frac{\partial \psi_o}{\partial t} - C_{ow} \frac{\partial \psi_w}{\partial t} = 0$$

Where:

Ψ pressure head

ρ_r specific gravity for oil

u_j gravity vector

K_{wij}, K_{oij} hydraulic conductivities for water and oil

C_{pq} fluid capacities between phase p and q

$$C_{pq} = \varepsilon \frac{\partial S_p}{\partial \psi_q}$$

S saturation of phase p

ε porosity

In addition auxiliary or constitutive equations relating phase saturation, pressure head and relative permeability for the three phases like the ones given by Brooks and Corey(1966), Corey(1986), van Genuchten(1980) and Parker et al.(1987) are needed before the modeling processes can be started. Constitutive relations used currently involve many assumptions and are usually scaled up from the simpler two phase constitutive relations. These relations are sometime developed by extending results from laboratory studies for simple cases and assuming them to represent the more complex situation. All of this combined indicates that it is extremely difficult to carry a modeling study that closely resembles and predicts what could take place in a real life situation.

Boundary and initial conditions:

Boundary and initial conditions are very important for a meaningful solution of any partial differential equation and unfortunately for this class of problems its is practically impossible to know in reasonable details the existing conditions for heads and the original distribution of the different phases.

Subsurface restoration

After controlling contamination source and stopping bleeding quickly and spending sometime to understand the subsurface condition and to figure out the extent of contamination, the dissolved phase could be a priority since it may directly affect water supply. A technique such as pump-and-treat could be used here. Selection of number of wells, their locations, flow rate and time variations are selected solely based on model predictions

Dealing with potential sources for groundwater contamination in the form of residual hydrocarbon in the unsaturated zone must be done through designing a treatment system that promotes mass transfer into the vapor phase and/or enhancing the biodegradation of hydrocarbon mass by subsurface microorganisms. Both processes are expected to take long time due to the nature of these processes. Incorporating conditions related to the subsurface heterogeneity and initial moisture and contaminant phase distribution into models is very important factor that influences the success of the restoration effort. Free phase product near the water table acts as a continuous source of groundwater contamination which may be dealt with using extraction wells or trenches but this process will remove only part of the product converting the other part into residual form. This process is always incomplete and costly and sometimes time consuming. Modeling is a common technique utilized heavily in designing, evaluation and operating the treatment of such phases of contaminants in the subsurface and any problems in the modeling used will be reflected on the resulting treatment.

Subsurface restoration is rarely attained using conventional treatment and further this inherently complex task requires long time in ten years and extremely high cost. The committee on groundwater cleanup alternative, in National Research Council (1994), estimates that the cost of clearing up sites in the US where groundwater and soil are contaminated could reach \$1 trillion over a period of 30 years. To evaluate performance of pump-and-treat systems they reviewed 77 sites throughout the US where such systems are in use. At 69 of these sites the cleanup goals have not been reached.

Subsurface treatment could even make a bad situation even worse by creating new channels through which contaminant transport may be facilitated. National Research Council (1994) describe the case of south Brunswick, NJ where a computer manufacturing facility carried a cleanup process for six years and stopped thinking that the process was complete. After three years the contamination was back and worse than ever in some locations.

Summary and Conclusions

Characterization, analysis, design and operation of an aquifer remediation operation involve many challenges and difficulties created by the nature of the subsurface being hidden and full of heterogeneity and difficult to explore in detail. Modeling is an essential part of such projects and any design or selection of operating condition and in turn the overall performance will be influenced by how accurately real

conditions were presented in the model. This accounts for the fact that remediation of contaminated aquifers is rarely successful. One way to try to avoid such problem could be through reducing or preventing, if possible, groundwater contamination in the first place by regulating USTs and requirement of continuous maintenance and monitoring.

References

- Al-Suwaiyan, M.S. and Bashir, K. 1997.** Estimating Under Ground Hydrocarbon Spills in Homogeneous and Layered Soils, Proceedings of the Symposium on Civil Engineering and the Environment, Dhahran, Saudi Arabia, May 3-5.
- Brooks, R.H. and A.T. Corey. 1966.** Properties of Porous Media Affecting Fluid Flow, J. Irr. Drain. Div., ASCE, 92(IR2) , pp 61-88.
- Corey, A.T. 1986.** Mechanics of Immiscible Fluids in Porous Media. Water Resources Publications, Littleton, Co.
- Farr, A.M., R.J. Houghtalen, and D.B. McWhorter. 1990.** Volume Estimation of Light Nonaqueous Phase Liquids in Porous Media, Ground Water 28(1), pp. 4856.
- Lenhard, R.J., and J.C. Parker. 1990.** Estimation of Free Hydrocarbon Volume from Fluid Levels in Monitoring Wells, Ground Water 28(1), pp. 57-67.
- Marinelli and Dunford. 1996.** LNAPL Thickness in Monitoring Wells Considering Hysteresis and Entrapment, Ground Water 34(3), pp. 405-414.
- Mishra, S., J. C. Parker, and N. Singhal. 1989.** Estimation of Soil Hydraulic Properties and Their Uncertainty from Particle Size Distribution Data, Journal of Hydrology 108, pp. 1-18.
- National Research Council. 1994.** Alternatives for Ground Water Cleanup. National Academy Press, Washington, D.C.
- Parker, J. C., R. J. Lenhard, and T. Kuppusamy. 1987.** A Parametric Model for Constitutive Properties Governing Multiphase Flow in Porous Media, Water Resources Research 23, pp. 618-624.
- Saleem, M. Al-Suwaiyan, M., Aiban, S., Ishaq, A.M., Al-Malack, M. and M. Hussain. 2004.** Estimation of Spilled Hydrocarbon Volume-The State-of-the-Art, Environmental Technology, 25(9), pp 1077-1090.

- Segol, G. 1994.** Classical Groundwater Simulations, Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ.
- Suthersan, S. 1997.** Remediation Engineering, Lewis Publishers, Boca Raton, FL.
- Van der Leeden, F., Troise, F.L. and D.K. Todd. 1990).** The Water Encyclopedia, 2nd ed., Lewis Publishers, Chelsea, MI.
- Van Genuchten, M. Th. 1980.** A Closed-form Equation for Predicting the Hydraulic Conductivity of Unsaturated Soils, Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 44, pp. 892-899.
- Zheng, C. and G.D. Bennett .1995.** Applied Contaminant Transport Modeling, Van Nostrand Reinhold, New York, NY.

تلوث المياه الجوفية الناتج عن التسربات من خزانات الوقود المدفونة الخيار بين الوقاية والعلاج

محمد صالح الصويان

قسم الهندسة المدنية - جامعة الملك فهد للبترول والمعادن - الظهران - السعودية

تعتبر المياه الجوفية المصدر الأساسي للمياه ذات النوعية العالية نسبياً في العالم وخصوصاً في المناطق الجافة مثل المملكة العربية السعودية ودول الخليج العربي. يتعرض هذا المصدر الهام إلى خطر التلوث لأسباب كثيرة منها تسربات الوقود من الخزانات المدفونة، والتي قد تستمر فترة طويلة قبل اكتشاف أي تدهور في نوعية المياه الجوفية بسبب طبيعة البيئة التحت سطحية المخفية إضافة إلى البطء النسبي في عمليات انتقال الملوثات. إضافة إلى ذلك فإن الجهود المبذولة لمعالجة التلوثات عند اكتشافها تواجه صعوبات كثيرة وعادة ما يكون نجاحها محدوداً بسبب الطبيعة الغير متجانسة تحت السطح. يوضح هذا البحث العديد من العمليات المؤثرة على توزيع وانتقال الملوثات العضوية في المياه الجوفية والتي تحدث بعد حدوث تسربات من الخزانات المدفونة، إضافة إلى توضيح العوامل المؤثرة سلباً عند محاولة الخروج بنماذج لعمليات جريان المياه الجوفية وانتقال الملوثات الذائبة والجريان متعدد الأطوار ومدى قدرة هذه النماذج على محاكاة الوضع الحقيقي للبيئة تحت السطحية. يركز هذا البحث على الصعوبات المرتبطة بتطوير خصائص التربة والخزانات الجوفية، مراقبة وتجميع العينات، إضافة إلى عملية إعادة التأهيل، ويستنتج البحث بعد هذا النقاش أن الجهد الأكبر يجب أن يوجه إلى عملية الوقاية وتقليل إمكانية حدوث التسربات من خلال سن قوانين ولوائح تنظم عملية تصميم وإنشاء ومراقبة وصيانة خزانات الوقود المدفونة لمحطات الوقود الجديدة والقائمة على حد سواء.