

## Egyptian Contribution to a Solution of Global Warming by Aquaculture in Qattara Depression, a Simulation Study

<sup>1</sup>A.I. Noor El Deen, <sup>1</sup>Mona S. Zaki,  
<sup>2</sup>M. Khafagy and <sup>3</sup>M.S. Abd El Karim

<sup>1</sup>National Research Centre, Cairo, Egypt

<sup>2</sup>National Cancer Institute, Cairo University, Cairo, Egypt

<sup>3</sup>National Institute of Oceanography and Fisheries, Cairo, Egypt

**Abstract:** This study was conducted to study how the development of inland oligotrophic marine ecosystem in Qattara Depression may act to mitigate the increase in the atmospheric carbon dioxide. Three sites with different nutrient concentrations were chosen at the Mediterranean Egyptian coast to study the phytoplankton composition, biomass and productivity. Site 1 was highest in nutrient concentration but least in water transparency, whereas site 2 was moderate in nutrient concentrations but highest in water transparency. Both gross and net production have a climax at site 2 with values reached up to 793.9 and 355.9 gC/m<sup>3</sup>/year, respectively. The net primary production (C sequestration) of phytoplankton at the expected water intake of the project is 355g m<sup>-3</sup>year<sup>-1</sup>. At filling of Qattara Depression at 60m below sea level the water volume will be 209.3 km<sup>3</sup>, the total C sequestered by phytoplankton per year is about 700x10<sup>5</sup> tons of carbon, which is about 1.5% of the annual increase of atmospheric carbon dioxide worldwide. Rising temperature during summer and nutrients enrichment in Qattara Depression may increase sequestration of carbon dioxide up to 5% of total annual carbon increase in earth atmosphere.

**Key words:** Global warming • Phytoplankton • Primary productivity and Qattara Depression

### INTRODUCTION

The policy of the Ministry of Electricity and Energy in Egypt is to increase the utilization of all natural resources for energy production, especially the hydro-potential as the most important source (e.g. the Aswan hydro-electrical station with an installed capacity of 2400 MW). Theoretically, a big project concerning the utilization of Qattara Depression for this purpose was designed many years ago. Qattara Depression is located in the western desert in Egypt, about 134 m below sea level at its lowest point. At zero level, the surface area of the depression is about 19,500 km<sup>2</sup> representing 1/15 of the area of Egypt, whereas at filling of 60m below sea level the surface area of the depression is about 12,100 km<sup>2</sup> with water volume of 209.3 km<sup>3</sup>. The depression is planned to be connected to the Mediterranean Sea either through tunnels or open canal. The discharged water will be regulated by water turbines thus utilize the difference between sea level and the depression for power

production. A preliminary intake for the water canal was chosen at El Sirra area located 15 km west of El Dabaa. After the establishment of the project, the maximum annual energy forecast is about 15400 TWH. It can be noted that the surface of the foreseen formed lake at level of 60 m below sea level will be 12,100 km<sup>2</sup> and volume of water will amount to 209,3 cubic kilometers [1].

Anthropogenic activity has increased the carbon dioxide concentration of the atmosphere by 30% from pre-industrial concentrations averaging 270 ppm [2, 3]. Carbon dioxide concentrations are expected to rise to 450 ppm by 2065 and to 650 ppm by 2100 [4]. These CO<sub>2</sub> increases may have dramatic impacts on global climate [3], global carbon cycles [2], ocean circulation [5 and 6], biotic diversity [7] and [8] and marine ecosystem function [9]. Elevated atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> will also increase the dissolved aqueous CO<sub>2</sub> concentration [CO<sub>2</sub> (aq)] in seawater and decrease pH [10]. The resulting drop in seawater pH may cause widespread decline of carbonate accretion systems such as coral reefs [7].

Plants are known to sequester atmospheric carbon dioxide through photosynthesis resulted in the key stones of life (food and oxygen). One way to mitigate the increase in the atmospheric carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) concentration is to increase the carbon (C) uptake (or C sequestration) in different plant life forms. In this direction, many trials were devoted to study how terrestrial plants [11, 12], aquatic seaweeds and sea grasses [13] and phytoplankton [14, 15] can be used to mitigate the dramatic increase in atmospheric carbon dioxide.

This study was conducted to investigate how the development of inland oligotrophic marine ecosystem in Qattara Depression may act to mitigate the increase in the atmospheric carbon dioxide.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Sampling Sites:** Three different sites with three different trophies were selected at the south coast of Mediterranean Sea at Egypt. The locations of the three sites were presented in Table 1.

**Water Sampling:** Subsurface water samples were collected by a polyvinyl chloride, Van Dorn bottle. The Dissolved Oxygen was performed by azide modification whereas; different nutrient concentrations were determined using colorimetric techniques [16]. GF/F filter papers were used for chlorophyll-a (chl-a) measurements, the filters were soaked in 10 ml hot ethanol according to [17 and 18]. Phytoplankton samples were fixed with Lugol's solution enumerated and counted using the inverted microscope method [19]. Identification of the main phytoplanktonic groups was made with reference of: Cyanoprokaryotes; [20], Bacillariophyceae; [21] and [22]; Chlorococcales [23, 24]. For diatoms identification for the species level, subsamples were oxidized by concentrated nitric acid and mounted in Naphrax medium [25]. Primary production was determined by oxygen light-dark bottles method [26]. Water samples were collected in 300-ml glass BOD bottles. Triplicates light bottles (LB) and dark bottles (DB) were incubated in the field at 50 cm below the water surface. Oxygen concentration was measured (Winkler's method) at the beginning of the experiment as initial bottles (IB). After the incubation, LB and DB bottles were immediately treated to determine oxygen concentrations [27], which was applied for primary production calculations.

Table 1: Latitude and Longitude of the selected sites

Sites	Location	Latitude	Longitude
1	East of Alexandria City	9,32'36"31	"51,51'56"28
2	West of Alexandria City	40,27'8"31	"10,74'49"29
3	El-Alamein City	"21,22'50"30	"51,51'56"28

## RESULTS

The different nutrient concentrations showed a significant decrease westward (Figure 1). The maximum concentrations of NO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>3</sub>, NH<sub>4</sub>, PO<sub>4</sub>, TP (µg/l) and silicate (mg/l) (19.7, 66.2, 105.6, 51.5, 147.8 and 3.5, respectively) were detected at site 1. On the other side, the minimum values of these nutrients 3.0, 39.7, 46.6, 14.6, 43.7 and 2.8, respectively, were measured at site 3. The spatial variation of the different nutrient concentrations were highly significant (P>0.005) except for silicate; where its variation was non-significant (P= 0.08).

The phytoplankton representation by both biomass and cell density was a mirror image to the eutrophication status represented by the nutrient concentrations. Biomass (chlorophyll a) and cell density were greatly decreased westward from site 1 to site 3 (Figure 2). The highest phytoplankton chlorophyll a of 18.6µg/l was measured at site 1, whereas the least biomass value 2.3µg/l was found at site 3. The phytoplankton cell density peaked at site 1 (8326 cell x 10<sup>3</sup>/l) whereas the density was minimum at site 3 (897.2 cell x 10<sup>3</sup>/l).

The gross and net productions pattern at the area of investigation differ from the eutrophic status of the area of study. Both gross and net production have a climax at site 2 with values reached to 793.9 and 355.9 gC/m<sup>3</sup>/year, respectively. The net production was least at site 3 with value of 109.5 gC/m<sup>3</sup>/year, (Figure 3).

## DISCUSSION

Depending on our finding concerning the net primary production (C sequestration) of phytoplankton at the expected water intake of the project is 355g m<sup>-3</sup> year<sup>-1</sup>. It is predicted that the total C sequestered by phytoplankton per year, according to this water volume, is about 700x10<sup>5</sup> tons of carbon which mean 70 million tons of carbon dioxide will be removed from the atmosphere. If we know that the annual increase of atmospheric carbon dioxide amount to 3.2 Giga ton, Qattara Depression will be responsible for removing of about 1.5% of this increase.




Fig. 1: Different nutrient concentrations at different sites




Fig. 2: Phytoplankton population density (no of cells x 10<sup>3</sup> l<sup>-1</sup>) biomass (µg l<sup>-1</sup>)




Fig. 3: Gross, net production and respiration (gC/m<sup>3</sup>/yea) at the studied area

In Chesapeake Bay, in the temperate eastern region of US, [28] observed a late winter minimum, average, of 325 mg C m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>, with rising temperature they observed a summer maximum, average, of 1500 mg C m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>. In general they observed a 2-3 fold increase in net primary production during summer compared with late winter-early spring. Similar results were reported by [29]. Our measures of phytoplankton net primary production were at temperature of 17°C, mid winter and oligo-

mesotrophic conditions of nutrient concentrations. In agreement with [28] and [29] rising temperature during summer will increase the net primary production in Qattara Depression 2-3 fold which means an increase from 1.5 to 3-4.5% in the annual removing of the atmospheric carbon dioxide increased per year. In the same time, nutrient enrichment in oligo-mesotrophic conditions may enhance the net primary production to levels that make Qattara Depression remove more than 5% of the annual increase of CO<sub>2</sub>. [30] Studied the effect of nutrient enrichment in South China Sea and indicated that while N enrichment enhanced phytoplankton growth all year round, P enrichment only occasionally increased production. In all enrichments, whenever N was supplemented, either singly or in combination with other nutrients, phytoplankton production was greatly enhanced. The primary production for the +N treatment measured was 7.82 mgCm<sup>-3</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>. It was 9.22 mgCm<sup>-3</sup> h<sup>-1</sup> for the +NP treatment. These values are approximately 5-10 times greater than the control (1.66 mgCm<sup>-3</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>) or the +P enrichment (0.89 mgCm<sup>-3</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>). These results in combination with those of [31] may support our hypothesis that using Qattara Depression as aquaculture may remove more than 5% of the annual increase of CO<sub>2</sub> in the atmosphere.

In conclusion, using the projected Qattara Depression for hydropower generation as aquaculture may be useful in sequestration carbon dioxide and combat global warming.

**REFERENCES**

1. Ministry Electricity and Energy in Egypt (1972). Qattara Depression, pp: 48.
2. Trenberth, K., 1996. The Climate System: An Overview. In: J.T. Houghton, L.G. Meira Filho, B.A.Callader, N. Harris, A. Kattenberg and K. Maskell, (eds). Climate Change 1995: The Science of Climate Change. Cambridge University Press, New York.
3. Keeling, C.D., 1997. Climate Change and Carbon Dioxide: An Introduction. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 94: 8273-8274.
4. O'Neill, B.C. and M. Oppenheimer, 2002. Dangerous climate impacts and the Kyoto Protocol. Science, 296: 1971-1972.
5. Manabe, S and R.J. Stouffer, 1994. Multiple-century response of a coupled ocean-atmosphere model to an increase of atmospheric carbon dioxide. J. Clim., 7: 5-23.

6. Sarmiento, J.L., T.M.C. Hughes, R.J. Stouffer and S. Manabe, 1998. Simulated response of the ocean carbon cycle to anthropogenic climate warming. *Nature*, 393: 245-249.
7. Kleypas, J.A., R.W. Buddemeier, D. Archer, J.P. Gattuso, C. Langdon and B.N. Opdyke, 1999. Geochemical consequences of increased atmospheric carbon dioxide on coral reefs. *Science*, 284: 118-120.
8. Ehleringer, J.R., T.E. Cerling and L.B. Flanagan, 2001. Global Change and the Linkages between Physiological Ecology and Ecosystem Ecology. In: M.C. Press, N.J. Huntley and S. Levin, (eds) *Ecology: Achievement and Challenge*. Blackwell Science, Williston, VT.
9. Denman, K., 1996. Marine Biotic responses to environmental change and feedbacks to climate. In: J.T. Houghton, L.G. Meira Filho, B.A. Callader, N. Harris, A. Kattenberg and K. Maskell, (eds) *Climate change 1995: the science of climate change*. Cambridge University Press, New York.
10. Zeebe, R.E. and D. Wolf-Gladrow, 2001. *CO<sub>2</sub> in Seawater: Equilibrium, Kinetics, Isotopes*. Elsevier, New York.
11. Xu, L. and D.D. Baldocchi, 2003. Seasonal variation in carbon dioxide exchange over a Mediterranean annual grassland in California. *Agric. For. Meteorol.*, 123: 79-96.
12. Suyker, A.E., S.B. Verma, G.G. Burba, T.J. Arkebauer, D.T. Walters and K.G. Hubbard, 2004. Growing season carbon dioxide exchange in irrigated and rainfed maize. *Agric. For. Meteorol.*, 124: 1-13.
13. Zimmerman, R.C., D.G. Kohrs, D.L. Steller and R.S. Alberte, 1997. Impacts of CO<sub>2</sub>-enrichment on productivity and light requirements of seagrass. *Plant Physiol.*, 115: 599-607.
14. Boyd, P.W., A.J. Watson, C.S. Law, E.R. Abraham, T. Trull, R. Murdoch, D.C.E. Bakker, A.R. Bowie, K.O. Buesseler, H. Chang, M.A. Charette, P. Croot, K. Downing, R.D. Frew, M. Gall, M. Hadfield, J.A. Hall, M. Harvey, G. Jameson, J. La Roche, M.I. Liddicoat, R. Ling, M. Maldonado, R.M. McKay, S.D. Nodder, S. Pickmere, R. Pridmore, S. Rintoul, K. Safi, P. Sutton, R. Strzepek, K. Tanneberger, S.M. Turner, A. Waite and J. Zeldis, 2000. A mesoscale phytoplankton bloom in the polar Southern Ocean stimulated by iron fertilization. *Nature*, 407: 695-702.
15. Bozeca, Y., C.E. Bakkerb, C. Hartmann, H. Thomasa, G.J. Richard, D. Bellerbyd, U. Riebesell, J. Watsonb and J.W. Hein, 2005. The CO<sub>2</sub> system in a Redfield context during an iron enrichment experiment in the Southern Ocean. *Marine Chemistry*, 95: 89-105.
16. American Public Health Association (APHA), 1992. *Standard Methods of the Examination of Water and Wastewater*. 17<sup>th</sup> edition, AWWA, WPCF, pp: 1015.
17. Nusch, E.A., 1980. Comparison of different methods for chl. and phaeopigments determination. *Arch. Hydrobiol. Beih. Ergebn. Limnol.*, 14: 14-36.
18. Marker, A.F., C.A. Crowther and R.J. Gunin, 1980. Methanol and acetone as solvents for estimating chlorophyll-a and phaeopigments by spectrophotometer. *Arch. Hydrobiol. Beih. Ergebn. Limnol.*, 14: 52-69.
19. Utermohl, H., 1958. Zur vervollkommung der quantitativen phytoplankton-methodik. *Internationale Vereinigung fur Theoretische und Angewandte Limnologie*, 9: 1-38.
20. Starmach, K., 1968. *Flora Slodkonda Polaki Toms.*, pp: 594.
21. Carmelo, J., 1997. *Identification of Marine Phytoplankton*, Academic Press, Harcourt Brace and Company, New York.
22. Krammer, K. and H. Lange-Bertalot, 1986. *Bacillariophyceae. Teil: Naviculaceae. Susswasserflora von Mitteleuropa*, 2/1: 1-876. Gustav Fisher Verlag, Stuttgart, Germany.
23. Dillard, G.E., 1991. *Freshwater Algae of the Southeastern, United States*, By; J. Krammer, Berlin, Stuttgart, Germany.
24. Tikkanen, T., 1986. *Kasviplanktonopas. Suomen Luonnonsuojelun Tuki Oy (Italia.)*, Helsinki, pp: 278.
25. Charles, D., C. Knowles and R. Davis, 2002. *Protocols for the analysis of algal samples collected as part of the U.S. Geological Survey National Water-Quality Assessment Program*. Patrick Center for Environmental Research Report No. 02-06, The Academy of Natural Science, Philadelphia. [<http://diatoms.acnasci.org/nawqa/>].
26. Strickland, J.D. and T.R. Parsons, 1965. *A Manual of Sea Water Analysis "2<sup>nd</sup> edition Fisheries Research"*. Board of Canada, Ottawa.
27. Vollenweider, R.A., 1996. *A Manual Method for Measuring Primary Production in Environment*. IBP. Handbook No. 12. Oxford and Edinburgh, pp: 213.

28. Harding, L.W., Jr, M.E. Mallonee and E.S. Perry, 2002. Toward a predictive understanding of primary productivity in a temperate, partially stratified estuary. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science*, 55: 437-463.
29. Smith, E.M. and W.M. Kemp, 1995. Seasonal and regional variations in plankton community production and respiration for Chesapeake Bay. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 116: 217-231.
30. Chen, Y.L., 2005. Spatial and seasonal variations of nitrate-based new production and primary production in the South China Sea Deep-Sea Research, 52: 319-340.
31. Veldhuis, M.J.W., G.W. Kraay, J.D.L. Van Bleijswijk and M.A. Baars, 1997. Seasonal and spatial variability in phytoplankton biomass, productivity and growth in the northwestern Indian Ocean: the southwest and northeast monsoon, 1992–1993. *Deep-Sea Research*, 44: 425-449.