8th International Conference on Water Resources and Arid Environments (ICWRAE 8): 360-368
22-24 January 2019, *Riyadh, Saudi Arabia*

Realization of Daily Evapotranspiration in Wadi Adwaser Based on Remote Sensing Techniques

Mohamed Elhag and Jarbou A. Bahrawi

Department of Hydrology and Water Resources Management, Faculty of Meteorology, Environment & Arid Land Agriculture, King Abdulaziz University Jeddah, 21589. Saudi Arabia

Abstract: Daily evapotranspiration is a major component in water resources management plans. In arid ecosystems, the quest for efficient water budget is always hard to achieve due to insufficient irrigational water and high evapotranspiration rates. Therefore, monitoring of daily evapotranspiration is a keystone practice for sustainable water resourcesmanagement, especially in arid environments. Remote Sensing Techniques offered a great help to estimate the daily evapotranspiration on a regional scale. Existing open source algorithms proved to estimate daily evapotranspiration in arid environments comprehensively. The only deficiency of these algorithms is course scale of the used remote sensing data. Consequently, theadequate downscaling algorithm is a compulsory step to rationalize an effective water resources management plans. Daily evapotranspiration was fairly estimated using AATSR in conjunction with MERIS data acquired in July 2013with one-kilometer spatial resolution and 3 days temporal resolution under SEBS model. Results were validated against reference evapotranspiration ground truth values using standardized Penman-Monteith method with R^2 of 0.879. The findings of the current research are successfully fulfilled to monitorturbulent heat fluxes values estimated from AATSR and MERIS data with atemporal resolution of 3 days only in conjunction with reliable meteorological data. Research verdicts are necessary inputs for well-informeddecision-making process regarding sustainable water resources management.

Key words: Arid Environments・AATSR data・MERIS data・Remote Sensing・SEBS・Water Resources Management

INTRODUCTION

Evapotranspiration is the principle process in defining mass and energy relationship in the surrounding hydrosphere [1, 2]. The consumptive use of irrigational water in agriculture is the fundamental component of a balanced estimation of evapotranspiration [3, 4].

The concept of water use efficacy is basically depending on thereliable estimation of evapotranspiration and surface water evaporation [5, 6]. Weather and wind conditions induce aregional and seasonal variation of evapotranspiration estimation [7, 8].

Conventional techniques of field scale evapotranspiration estimations are fairly achieved epically over homogenous surfaces using ordinary techniques: lysimeter systems, Eddy Covariance (EC) and Bowen Ratio (BR). Nevertheless, conventional methods of evapotranspiration estimations are incapable of fulfilling the quest of regional evapotranspiration estimation specifically in harsh climatic conditions [9, 10]. Therefore, remote sensing evapotranspiration models are adequate techniques to bring satisfactory estimates [1, 11].

Remote sensing evapotranspiration models are numerous. Several algorithms are already in practice with different complexity levels to estimate fairly evapotranspiration based on different climatic conditions and land use variability [6, 8, 12].

Based on several scholarly work of Roerink *et al*., [13]; Su, [14]; Cha′vez *et al*., [15]; Loheide and Gorelick, [16]; Allen *et al*., [1]; Ghilain *et al*., [10]; Psilovikos and Elhag [17] on remote sensing evapotranspiration based algorithms, there are principally two types of evapotranspiration estimation conceptson terrestrial surfaces.

Corresponding Author: Mohamed Elhag, Department of Hydrology and Water Resources Management, Faculty of Meteorology, Environment & Arid Land Agriculture, King Abdulaziz University Jeddah, 21589. Saudi Arabia.
E-mail: :melhag@kau.edu.sa.

The first concept is to use the surface reflectance in different visible (VIS), near-infrared (NIR) and even extended to Thermal Infrared (TIR) portions of the electromagnetic spectrum to rationalize the Surface Energy Balance (SEB). The other concept it to use vegetation indices derived from canopy reflectance to conceptualize remotely sensed crop coefficient(K_c).

Gourd truth data collection exercised at less than one-meter canopy height, in which all related surfaces fluxes and atmospheric surface variables of the vegetation cover takes place in arid environment takes place [18, 19]. Based on Brutsaert [20, 21], Monin-Obukhov Similarity (MOS) and BulkAtmospheric Boundary Layer (ABL) functions were calculated. Brutsaert[21] suggested sets of criteria estimate MOS orABL if it scaled downappropriately for a given circumstances.Brutsaert criteria are valid only for unstable conditions.

Therefore, van den Hurk and Holtslag [22] adjusted and validated Brutsaert criteria using atmospheric surface layer scaling according to Brutsaert [23] to be used in stable conditions. Generic estimation of Surface Albedo for vegetated land covers is based on the red (R) and NIR band reflectance model [24].

The aim of the current study is to monitor turbulent heat fluxes in Wadi Ad Dawasirtoestimatethe daily evapotranspiration rate and relative evaporation ratio using AdanceAlong Track Scanner Radiometer (AATSR) and MEdium Resolution Imaging Spectrometer (MERIS) sensors. The final step is to identify the regression coefficient between the estimated evapotranspiration's rates and the actual ground truth data.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Area: The study area, Wadi Ad Dawasirtown is located on the plateau of Najd at Lat 44° 43' and Lon 20° 29'; about 300 km south of the capital city Riyadh (Fig. 1) according toElhag[25]. This study areacomprised of gravelly tableland disconnected by insignificantsandy oases and isolated mountain bundles. Across the Arabian Peninsula the tableland slopes toward the east from an elevation of 1,360 meters in the west to 750 meters at its easternmost limit. Wadi Ad Dawasir and Wadi ArRummahare the most important remaining riverbeds in the study area. Wadi Ad Dawasir and Najran regions are the major irrigation water abstraction from Al-Wajid aquifer. Agriculture in Wadi Ad Dawasir area consists of technically highly developed farm enterprises that operate modern pivot irrigation system. The size of center pivot ranges from 30 ha to 60 ha with farms managing hundreds of them with the corresponding number of wells. The main crop grown in winter is wheat and occasionally potatoes, tomatoes, or melons. All year fodder consists of alfalfa, which is cut up to 10 times a year for food. Typical summer crops for fodder are sorghum and Rhodes grass, which is perennial, but dormant in winter. The shallow alluvial aquifers could not sustain the high groundwater abstraction rates for a long time and groundwater level declined dramatically in most areas. Meteorological features of the area are speckled. Five elements of meteorology are constantly recorded through fixed weather station located within the study area. Temperature varies from 6°C as minimum temperature to



Fig. 1: Location of the study area [25].

43°C as maximum temperature. Relative humidity is mostly stable at 24 %. Solar radiation of average sunrise duration is generally 11 hrs/day.Average wind speed is closer to 13 km/hr and may reach up to 46 km/hr in thunderstorm incidents. Finally, mean annual rainfall is about 37.6 mm [26, 27].

Methodological Framework: The current research work is based on assessing a regression correlation between estimated evapotranspiration data conducted from AATSR and MERIS sensorsand its corresponding ground truth evapotranspiration data conducted throughstandardized Penman-Monteith. Therefore, accurate synchronization of remote sensing data bypassing and ground truth data collection were exercised.

SEBS Model Fundamentals: Remote sensing data acquired from AATSR and MERIS sensors in the 8th of July 2013 respectively. The satellite data were georeferenced to WGS-84 datum, atmospherically corrected using Simplified Model for Atmospheric Correction (SMAC) (Rahman and Dedieu, 1994). Several meteorological data collected from a stationary station located within the designated study area (2004-2014, average meteorological data).

Surface Energy Balance System (SEBS) was initiated by Su [14] based on further Surface Energy Balance Index improvements. SEBS dynamicity works for regional and local Evapotranspiration (ET) estimation. Regional ET estimation uses Monin–ObukhovSimilarity (MOS), Bulk Atmospheric Similarity andthermal roughness principles. On the other hand, local ET estimation uses only Atmospheric Surface Layer (ASL) scaling fundamentals [21, 28]. The boundary conditions (wet and dry) are essential components in ET estimation using SEBS model. According to the water availabilitylimitation, H_{dry} is considered to be equal to the available energy AE as evaporation assumed to be“zero”.Following Penman–Monteith parameterization [29, 30], wet boundary condition (H_{wet}) is calculated as following:

$$H_{wet} = AE - \frac{\left(\frac{\rho a Cp}{r_{ah}}\right)\left(e_s - \frac{e}{7}\right)}{1 + \frac{\Delta}{\gamma}} \quad (1)$$

where

e is the actual vapor pressure (kP_a),

e_s is the saturation vapor pressure (kP_a),

c is the psychrometric constant ($kP_a °C^{-1}$),

γ Is the rate of change of saturation vapor pressure with temperature ($kP_a °C^{-1}$) and

r_{ah} Is the bulk surface external or aerodynamic resistance ($s\ m^{-1}$).

Consequently, anevaporative fraction (Λ) and relative evaporative fraction (Λ_r)are calculated as following per image pixel:

$$\Lambda = \frac{\lambda E}{R_n - G} = \frac{\Lambda_r . \lambda E_{wet}}{R_n - G} \quad (2)$$

$$\Lambda_r = 1 - \frac{H - H_{wet}}{H_{dry} - H_{wet}} \quad (3)$$

Daily evaporation is estimated based on the estimation of the evaporative fraction only when the daily net energy (G) and the net radiation (R_n) are available. Therefore, the amplitude variation of the diurnal energy cycle is sky clarity dependent.

$$H = (1 - \Lambda).(R_n - G) \quad (4)$$

$$LE = \Lambda(R_n - G) \quad (5)$$

$$E_{daily} = \Lambda_0^{24} \cdot daytime \cdot \frac{R_n - G_0}{\lambda_{\rho\omega}} \quad (6)$$

where

Λ Is the evaporative fraction

Λ_r Is relative evaporative fraction

R_n is net radiation measured in watt per square meter,

G Is soil heat flux measured in watt per square meter,

H Isturbulent sensible heat flux measured in watt per square meter,

ΛE Is turbulent latent heat flux measured in watt per square meter,

H Is the actual sensible heat flux and determined by the bulk atmospheric similarity approach.

$P\omega$ is thedensity of water measured in kilograms per cubic meter.

Validation: Using standardized Penman-Monteith method, 50 ground truths data collected were collected and used to validatethe implemented model. The sampling locations were consistently distributedover the designatedstudy area. The lysimeter technique for the estimation of daily evapotranspirationwas carriedout following Liu and Wang[31] with calibratedaccuracy equal to ± 0.025. The calibration procedure was principally based on placing double infiltrometers [32].

The corrected Penman equations for estimating the daily evapotranspiration was conducted according to Jensen *et al*., [33]:

$$ET_o = \left[\frac{\Delta}{\Delta + \gamma} Rn + \frac{\gamma}{\Delta + \gamma} f(U)(e_s - e_a) \right] c \quad (7)$$

where
ET_0 Is reference evapotranspiration (mm /day),
Δ Is the slope of saturation vapor pressure-temperature curve(kPa /°C),
γ Is the psychrometric constant (kPa /°C),
Rn Is thenet radiation (mm (mbar)),
c Is the adjustment factor,
$f(U)$ is the wind function,
e_s Isthe saturation vapor pressure (mbar),
e_a Is actual vapor pressure,

Consequently, the wind function was conducted as follows:

$$f(U) = 0.27\left(1 + \frac{U_2}{100}\right) \quad (8)$$

where
U_2 Is the wind speed measured surfacelyat 2 m height (km/day).

Meanwhile, e_a was calculated according toAllen *et al*. [34] as the following:

$$e_a = \frac{e^o(T_{\min})(RH_{\max}/100) + e^o(T_{\max})(RH_{\min}/100)}{2} \quad (9)$$

where
$e°(T_{min})$ Is the saturationvapor pressure at adaily minimum temperature (kPa),
$e°(T_{max})$ Is the saturationvapor pressure at adailyMaximum temperature (kPa),
$Rh_{\max}$ Is the maximum relative humidity (%),
$Rh_{\min}$ Is minimum relative humidity (%).

Linear regression model was used to find the correlation coefficient between the estimated and the actualevapotranspiration values. Root Mean Square Error (RMSE) was used to signify the inequality of variance and correlation ofthe linear regression model [35]. The R|MSE was calculated as following:

$$RMSE = [N^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (P_i - O_i)^2]^{0.5} \quad (10)$$

where
N Is thenumber of observations,
P_i Is thepredicted ETvalues (mm/day)
O_i Is thecalculated ETvalues (mm/day)

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

SEBS model implementation over the designated study area results in 10 different turbulent heat fluxes thematic maps. The histogram and the scatter plot of SEBS output thematic maps were plotted against the daily evapotranspiration values.The estimated daily evapotranspiration values ranging from zero to 6.61 mm/day. The spatial distribution of the highest evapotranspiration area is the peripheral of the agricultural pivots as it's demonstrated in Fig. 2. The poor drainage system could explain this were the access of irrigational water collated at the sides of the pivots [2, 19]. Using zonal statistics analysis under GIS environment, the mean actual evapotranspiration value is almost 5 mm/day (Fig. 3), which is considered a high value in such arid conditions [6]. Such evapotranspiration value supports the hypothesis of the mismanagement of irrigational water in Wadi Ad Dawasir.Principally under extreme dry climate conditions, relative evaporation may reach unity [36]. The relative evaporation thematic map; demonstrated in Fig. 4, confirmshigh correspondence between the actual and the potential evapotranspiration, especially in the peripheral of the agricultural pivots. Normal distribution of the relative evaporation is demonstrated in Fig. 5. Mean relative evaporation ratio is counted for 0.91. Only within the pivots, the relative evaporation decreases to 0.45 indicating the wet condition of the agricultural land [11]. 50 points of ground truthing data were collected during July 2013 of daily evapotranspiration. The points were consistently distributed over the designated study area. Daily evapotranspiration estimation was conducted according to Liu and Wang [31] using the Lysimeter with calibrated accuracy of ± 0.025. The actual evapotranspiration data were intersected with the estimated raster imageunder GIS environment. A linear regression model with anR^2 value of 0.83 was conducted to assess the association between the estimated and the actually measured values (Fig. 6).



Fig. 2: Actual daily evapotranspiration thematic map.



Fig. 3: Normal distribution of actual daily evapotranspiration data.



Fig. 4: Relative evaporation thematic map.



Fig. 5: Normal distribution of relative evaporation data.



Fig. 6: The relationship between actual and simulated daily evapotranspiration.

Implementation of SEBS model over the designated study area proved a higher daily evapotranspiration values than projected. Higher daily evapotranspiration values were noticed because the sensible heat flux is the major part of the energy, while the latent heat flux is dominating only over the agricultural area [37-39]. SEBS model behavior could be explained by the model tendency to simulate the potential daily evapotranspiration rather than the actual daily evapotranspiration, which is identified as the lack of Leaf Area Index value over desert areas [6, 40].The application of SEBS model over the designated study area showed insignificance difference than the Nile Delta Case in term of accuracy assessment [41].

CONCLUSIONS

Projected evapotranspiration data usingSurface Energy Balance System model and multiple remote sensing imageries demonstrated robustassociation with the ground truth data. The application of the Surface Energy Balance System model mapped the daily evapotranspiration and evaporative fractionobjectively over Wadi Ad Dwaser region. The findingsof the current research will help the decision makers towards modification of the agriculture activities in similar areas, in term of conservative irrigational water regulations. The model shows consistent results in theestimation of daily evapotranspiration in Nile Delta region and in Wadi Ad Dwaser. Accordingly, Surface Energy Balance System model can be considered as a reliable effective tool in the estimation of daily evapotranspiration explicitly in arid environments.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This project was funded by the Deanship of Scientific Research (DSR) at King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, under grant no. (G-**182-155-37**). The authors, therefore, acknowledge with thanks, DSR technical and financial support.

REFERENCES

1. Allen, R.G., M. Tasumi and R. Trezza, 2007. Satellite-based energy balance for mapping evapotranspiration with internalized calibration (METRIC)—Model. Journal of irrigation and drainage engineering, 133(4): 380-394.
2. Cruz-Blanco, M., P. Gavilán, C. Santos and I. Lorite, 2014. Assessment of reference evapotranspiration using remote sensing and forecasting tools under semi-arid conditions. International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation, 33: 280-289.

3. Bastiaanssen, W.G., H. Pelgrum, J. Wang, Y. Ma, J. Moreno, G. Roerink and T. Van der Wal, 1998. A remote sensing surface energy balance algorithm for land (SEBAL).: Part 2: Validation. Journal of Hydrology, 212: 213-229.
4. Cammalleri, C. and G. Ciraolo, 2013. A simple method to directly retrieve reference evapotranspiration from geostationary satellite images. International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation, 21: 149-158.
5. Berengena, J. and P. Gavilán, 2005. Reference evapotranspiration estimation in a highly advective semiarid environment. Journal of irrigation and drainage engineering, 131(2): 147-163.
6. Elhag, M., A. Psilovikos, I. Manakos and K. Perakis, 2011. Application of the SEBS water balance model in estimating daily evapotranspiration and evaporative fraction from remote sensing data over the Nile Delta. Water Resources Management, 25(11): 2731-2742.
7. Paulson, R.W., 1991. National water summary 1988-89: hydrologic events and floods and droughts. United States Geological Survey Water-Supply Paper (USA).
8. Cristobal, J. and M. Anderson, 2012. Regional scale evaluation of a MSG solar radiation product for evapotranspiration modeling. Hydrology & Earth System Sciences Discussions, 9(7).
9. Gavilán, P., I. Lorite, S. Tornero and J. Berengena, 2006. Regional calibration of Hargreaves equation for estimating reference ET in a semiarid environment. Agricultural Water Management, 81(3): 257-281.
10. Ghilain, N., A. Arboleda and F. Gellens-Meulenberghs, 2011. Evapotranspiration modelling at large scale using near-real time MSG SEVIRI derived data. Hydrology & Earth System Sciences, 15(3).
11. De Bruin, H., I. Trigo, M. Jitan, N. Temesgen Enku, C. Tol and A. Gieske, 2010. Reference crop evapotranspiration derived from geo-stationary satellite imagery: a case study for the Fogera flood plain, NW-Ethiopia and the Jordan Valley, Jordan. Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 14(11): 2219-2228.
12. Espadafor, M., I. Lorite, P. Gavilán and J. Berengena, 2011. An analysis of the tendency of reference evapotranspiration estimates and other climate variables during the last 45 years in Southern Spain. Agricultural Water Management, 98(6): 1045-1061.
13. Roerink, G., Z. Su and M. Menenti, 2000. S-SEBI: A simple remote sensing algorithm to estimate the surface energy balance. Physics and Chemistry of the Earth, Part B: Hydrology, Oceans and Atmosphere, 25(2): 147-157.
14. Su, Z., 2002. The Surface Energy Balance System (SEBS) for estimation of turbulent heat fluxes. Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 6(1): 85-100.
15. Chávez, J., C.M. Neale, L.E. Hipps, J.H. Prueger and W.P. Kustas, 2005. Comparing aircraft-based remotely sensed energy balance fluxes with eddy covariance tower data using heat flux source area functions. Journal of Hydrometeorology, 6(6): 923-940.
16. Loheide II, S.P. and S.M. Gorelick, 2005. A local-scale, high-resolution evapotranspiration mapping algorithm (ETMA) with hydroecological applications at riparian meadow restoration sites. Remote Sensing of Environment, 98(2-3): 182-200.
17. Psilovikos, A. and M. Elhag, 2013. Forecasting of remotely sensed daily evapotranspiration data over Nile Delta region, Egypt. Water Resources Management, 27(12): 4115-4130.
18. Beljaars, A. and A. Holtslag, 1991. Flux parameterization over land surfaces for atmospheric models. Journal of Applied Meteorology, 30(3): 327-341.
19. Zwart, S.J. and W.G. Bastiaanssen, 2004. Review of measured crop water productivity values for irrigated wheat, rice, cotton and maize. Agricultural Water Management, 69(2): 115-133.
20. Brutsaert, W., 1991. The formulation of evaporation from land surfaces, in Recent advances in the modeling of hydrologic systems. 1991, Springer. pp: 67-84.
21. Brutsaert, W., 1991. Aspects of bulk atmospheric boundary layer similarity under free-convective conditions. Reviews of Geophysics, 37(4): 439-451.
22. Van den Hurk, B. and A. Holtslag, 1997. On the bulk parameterization of surface fluxes for various conditions and parameter ranges. Boundary-Layer Meteorology, 82(1): 119-133.
23. Brutsaert, W., 1982. Evaporation into the Atmosphere. Theory, History and Applications; Dordrecht: Holland, D. Reidel Co, 1982.
24. Brest, C.L. and S.N. Goward, 1987. Deriving surface albedo measurements from narrow band satellite data. International Journal of Remote Sensing, 8(3): 351-367.
25. Elhag, M., 2016. Evaluation of different soil salinity mapping using remote sensing techniques in arid ecosystems, Saudi Arabia. Journal of Sensors, 2016.
26. Al-Zahrani, K.H. and M. Baig, 2011. Water in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia: sustainable management options. The Journal of Animal & Plant Sciences, 21(3): 601-604.

27. Elhag, M., H.K. Galal and H. Alsubaie, 2017. Understanding of morphometric features for adequate water resource management in arid environments. Geoscientific Instrumentation, Methods and Data Systems, 6(2): 293.
28. Su, Z., T. Schmugge, W. Kustas and W. Massman, 2001. An evaluation of two models for estimation of the roughness height for heat transfer between the land surface and the atmosphere. Journal of Applied Meteorology, 40(11): 1933-1951.
29. Monteith, J., 1965. Evaporation and environment. The state and movement of water in living organisms. Symposium of the society of experimental biology, Vol. 19 (pp. 205-234). 1965, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
30. Monteith, J., 1981. Evaporation and surface temperature. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 107(451): 1-27.
31. Liu, C. and H. Wang, 1999. The interface processes of water movement in the soil-crop-atmosphere system and water-saving regulation. 1999, Science Press, Beijing.
32. Taylor, A.R., 1981. A method for surface irrigation design based on infiltration using the border strip as an infiltrometer. 1981, Lincoln College, University of Canterbury.
33. Jensen, J.R., 1995. Inland wetland change detection in the Everglades Water Conservation Area 2A using a time series of normalized remotely sensed data. PE & RS, pp: 199-209.
34. Allen, R.G., L.S. Pereira, D. Raes and M. Smith, 1998. Crop evapotranspiration-Guidelines for computing crop water requirements-FAO Irrigation and drainage paper 56. Fao, Rome, 300(9): D05109.
35. Box, G.E., 1954. Some theorems on quadratic forms applied in the study of analysis of variance problems, I. Effect of inequality of variance in the one-way classification. The annals of mathematical statistics, pp: 290-302.
36. Lockwood, J., 1999. Is potential evapotranspiration and its relationship with actual evapotranspiration sensitive to elevated atmospheric CO2 levels? Climatic Change, 41(2): 193-212.
37. Frey, C.M., E. Parlow, R. Vogt, M. Harhash and M.M.A. Wahab, 2011. Flux measurements in Cairo. Part 1: in situ measurements and their applicability for comparison with satellite data. International Journal of Climatology, 31(2): 218-231.
38. Elhag, M. and J. Bahrawi, 2014. Cloud coverage disruption for groundwater recharge improvement using remote sensing techniques in Asir Region Saudi Arabia. Life Science Journal, 11(1): 192-200.
39. Elhag, M. and J.A. Bahrawi, 2014. Conservational use of remote sensing techniques for a novel rainwater harvesting in arid environment. Environmental Earth Sciences, 72(12): 4995-5005.
40. Li, Z.L., R. Tang, Z. Wan, Y. Bi, C. Zhou, B. Tang, G. Yan and X. Zhang, 2009. A review of current methodologies for regional evapotranspiration estimation from remotely sensed data. Sensors, 9(5): 3801-3853.
41. Elhag, M., A. Psilovikos and M. Sakellariou-Makrantonaki, 2013. Land use changes and its impacts on water resources in Nile Delta region using remote sensing techniques. Environment, development and Sustainability, 15(5): 1189-1204.